

A New Upper Bound for the Minimum of an Integral Lattice of Determinant One*

J. H. Conway

Mathematics Department
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

N. J. A. Sloane

Mathematical Sciences Research Center
AT&T Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

ABSTRACT

Let Λ be an n -dimensional integral lattice of determinant 1. We show that, for all sufficiently large n , the minimal nonzero squared length in Λ does not exceed $[(n + 6)/10]$. This bound is a consequence of some new conditions on the theta series of these lattices; these conditions also enable us to find the greatest possible minimal squared length in all dimensions $n \leq 33$. In particular we settle the “no-roots” problem: there is a determinant 1 lattice containing no vectors of squared length 1 or 2 precisely when $n \geq 23$, $n \neq 25$. There are also analogues of all these results for codes.

* This paper appeared in *Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. **23** (1990), pp. 383-387, with a correction in vol. **24** (1991), p. 479.

A New Upper Bound for the Minimum of an Integral Lattice of Determinant One

J. H. Conway

Mathematics Department
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

N. J. A. Sloane

Mathematical Sciences Research Center
AT&T Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

1. Introduction

The problem of classifying n -dimensional integral lattices of determinant 1 has been studied by Magnus, Mordell, Ko, Witt, Kneser, Niemeier and others [4, Chaps. 1, 16, 17]. The lattices Λ of this type for which the minimal norm

$$\min\{u \cdot u : u \in \Lambda, u \neq 0\}$$

takes its highest possible value μ are of the greatest interest. It was shown in [7] that for even lattices (those in which $u \cdot u$ is always even), the minimal norm is at most $2\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 2$, while for odd lattices (those in which $u \cdot u$ is sometimes odd) the corresponding bound is $\lfloor n/8 \rfloor + 1$ ([7], [11]). These are the bounds one would expect from the dimension of the space of available theta series. In fact it is known that μ differs from these bounds by an amount that tends to infinity with n , so that equality can hold for only finitely many lattices [7]. In the odd case the bound holds with equality for precisely twelve lattices, the highest dimension of which is 23 ([2], [4, Chap. 19]). As to lower bounds, it is known that both even and odd lattices exist in which the minimal norm is asymptotically at least $n/2\pi e$ ([10], [4, Chap. 7]).

The purpose of this paper is to announce the following improved bound.

Theorem 1. For all sufficiently large n we have $\mu \leq [(n + 6)/10]$.

(An upper bound asymptotic to $n/9.793\dots$ is implied by the Kabatiansky-Levenshtein sphere-packing bound [5], [4, Chap. 9].) We believe that for odd lattices the bound of Theorem 1 in fact holds for all dimensions n except 1,2,3,12,23,32, where special circumstances permit μ to exceed the bound by 1.

In particular cases we can often obtain additional information. For dimensions 1 through 33 we have been able to find the exact value of μ .

Theorem 2. $\mu = 1$ for $n = 1$ to 7, 9 to 11, 13; $\mu = 2$ for $n = 8, 12, 14$ to 22, 25; $\mu = 3$ for $n = 23, 26$ to 31, 33; and $\mu = 4$ for $n = 24$ and 32.

We also have information about the optimal lattices (those whose minimal norm equals μ). For example, there are precisely 5 odd optimal lattices in 32 dimensions, while there are more than 8×10^{20} optimal lattices (which are necessarily odd) in 33 dimensions!

Vectors of norms 1 or 2 in a lattice of determinant 1 are called *roots* (the reflections in such vectors are symmetries of the lattice).

Theorem 3. Determinant 1 lattices with no roots exist precisely for $n \geq 23, n \neq 25$.

Most of these theorems have analogues for binary self-dual codes.

Theorem 4. For all $n \geq 50$, except perhaps for $n = 72$, the minimal distance d of a self-dual code of length n satisfies $d \leq 2 [(n + 6)/10]$.

We can show that, for self-dual codes in which the weights are not all multiples of 4, the bound of Theorem 4 holds for all lengths n except 2, 12, 22 and 32. Here, however, the bound of McEliece et al. ([9], [6, Chap. 17]) is asymptotically stronger, yielding $d \leq 0.182 n + o(n)$.

Theorem 5. The greatest minimal distance of any self-dual code of length n is 2 for $n = 2, 4, 6, 10$; 4 for $n = 8, 12$ to 20; 6 for $n = 22, 26, 28, 30, 34$; 8 for $n = 24, 32, 36$ to

44; 10 for $n = 46, 50, 52, 54, 58$; and 12 for $n = 48, 56, 60$.

Theorem 6. Self-dual codes with minimal distance

$$\begin{aligned} d \geq 6 & \quad \text{exist precisely for } n \geq 22, \\ d \geq 8 & \quad \text{exist precisely for } n = 24, 32 \text{ and } n \geq 36, \\ d \geq 10 & \quad \text{exist precisely for } n \geq 46. \end{aligned}$$

There are precisely three self-dual codes with $n = 32$, $d = 8$ such that not all weights are multiples of 4. (In the case where the weights are multiples of 4 it was already known that there are precisely five codes [3].)

2. Remarks on the proofs

Theorem 2 follows from a detailed study of the theta series and by explicit constructions in dimensions $n \leq 32$, while Theorem 3 also uses an analytic argument (involving the average theta series) for $n \geq 33$. We now sketch the proof of Theorem 1. Complete details will appear elsewhere.

Let Λ be an n -dimensional integral lattice of determinant 1. If Λ is even the result follows from [7], so we assume Λ is odd. The theta series $\Theta_\Lambda(q) = \sum_{u \in \Lambda} q^{u \cdot u}$ can be written as

$$\Theta_\Lambda(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/8 \rfloor} a_j \Delta_8(q)^j \theta_3(q)^{n-8j}, \text{ where } \Delta_8(q) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2m-1})^8 (1 - q^{4m})^8 \quad [4,$$

p. 187]. ($\theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$ are the usual Jacobi theta series [12, p. 464], [4, p. 102].) If Λ has minimal norm at least σ then $a_0, \dots, a_{\sigma-1}$ are determined and can be found from the Bürmann-Lagrange theorem ([7], [8], [12, p. 128].) We obtain

$$a_j = - \frac{n}{j!} \left[\frac{d^{j-1}}{dq^{j-1}} \left\{ \theta_3'(q) \theta_3(q)^{8j-n-1} h(q)^j \right\} \right]_{q=0}, \quad (1)$$

where $h(q) = q\Delta_8(q)^{-1}$ (cf. [7, Eq. (6)], [8, p. 191]).

Suppose, seeking a contradiction, that $\sigma = [(n + 6)/10] + 1$. Let $n = 10k + \delta$, $-6 \leq \delta \leq 3$, so $\sigma = k + 1$. We use (1) and the saddle point method (as in Lemma 1 of [7]) to obtain

$$a_k \sim -\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{k}} c_2^k, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

where c_1 is a positive number (depending on δ) and $c_2 = 14.91050\dots$.

We now obtain a second estimate for a_k , incompatible with (2). Let Λ_0 denote the even sublattice of Λ , of index 2. The dual lattice Λ_0^* is the union of four cosets of Λ_0 , say $\Lambda_0^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^3 \Lambda_0^{(i)}$, with $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0^{(0)}$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_0^{(0)} \cup \Lambda_0^{(2)}$. We set $\Omega = \Lambda_0^{(1)} \cup \Lambda_0^{(3)}$. The theta series of Ω is given by [4, p. 440, Eqs. (5), (6)]:

$$\Theta_\Omega(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/8]} \frac{(-1)^j}{16^j} a_j \theta_4(q^2)^{8j} \theta_2(q)^{n-8j} = \sum \beta_r q^r \text{ (say)}. \quad (3)$$

Note that the values of r in (3) are rational numbers congruent to $n/4 \pmod{2}$.

For two distinct pairs $\pm u, \pm v \in \Omega$ we cannot have $N(u) + N(v) < \sigma$, since $u \pm v \in \Lambda$. This principle implies that there is at most one nonzero β_r for $r < (\sigma + 2)/2$, that $\beta_r = 0$ for $r < \sigma/4$, $\beta_r = 0$ or 2 for $r < \sigma/2$, and (by consideration of inner products) that $\beta_r \leq 2n$ for $r < (\sigma + 1)/2$, $n \neq 3$. (R. E. Borcherds [1] has used similar ideas in studying lattices in dimensions 25 to 27.)

Thus the values of β_r for $r < (\sigma + 1)/2$ are small. A second application of the Bürmann-Lagrange theorem now enables us to determine $a_{[n/8]}, a_{[n/8]-1}, \dots, a_k$. Again applying Lemma 1 of [7] we obtain an upper bound for a_k which is asymptotic to

$$\frac{c_3}{\sqrt{k}} c_4^k, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

where c_3 is positive and independent of k , and $c_4 = 7.10716\dots$. Comparison of (2) and (4) yields the desired contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. R. E. Borcherds, "The Leech Lattice and Other Lattices", Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Cambridge, 1984.
2. J. H. Conway, A. M. Odlyzko and N. J. A. Sloane, "Extremal self-dual lattices exist only in dimensions 1 to 8, 12, 14, 15, 23 and 24", *Mathematika*, vol. 25 (1978), pp. 36-43.
3. J. H. Conway and V. Pless, "On the enumeration of self-dual codes", *J. Combinatorial Theory*, vol. A **28** (1980), pp. 26-53.
4. J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, "Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups", Springer-Verlag, NY 1988.
5. G. A. Kabatiansky and V. I. Levenshtein, "Bounds for packings on a sphere and in space" (in Russian), *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii*, vol. 14 (no. 1, 1978), pp. 3-25. (English translation: *Problems of Information Theory*, vol. 14 (No. 1, 1978), pp. 1-17.
6. F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, "The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes", North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
7. C. L. Mallows, A. M. Odlyzko and N. J. A. Sloane, "Upper bounds for modular forms, lattices, and codes", *J. Alg.*, vol. 36 (1975), pp. 68-76.
8. C. L. Mallows and N. J. A. Sloane, "An upper bound for self-dual codes", *Information and Control*, vol. 22 (1973), pp. 188-200.
9. R. J. McEliece, E. R. Rodemich, H. C. Rumsey, Jr., and L. R. Welch, "New upper bounds on the rate of a code via the Delsarte-MacWilliams inequalities", *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. **23** (1977), pp. 157-166.

10. J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, "Symmetric Bilinear Forms", Springer-Verlag, NY 1973.
11. C. L. Siegel, "Berechnung von Zetafunktionen an ganzzahligen Stellen", Göttingen Nach., vol. 10 (1969), pp. 87-102. (Gesam. Abh., vol IV, pp. 82-97.)
12. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, "A Course of Modern Analysis", 4th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1963.