

ator  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(x, y, X, Y)$ . We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1. From the hypotheses, eq. (1), and the fact that in each term  $x^j y^k X^l Y^m$  of  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $j+k=l+m$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{W}$  is invariant under  $M^+$ ,  $J^+$ , and

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & & & \\ & \omega & & \\ & & \omega^{-1} & \\ & & & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$M^+$ ,  $J^+$ ,  $T_1$  generate a group of order 16192 consisting of the matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & BA \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{G}_{192}$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{H}_{16}$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}_{16} = \{\delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}, \delta \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ \pm 1 & \end{pmatrix} : \delta \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}_{192}$ ; and  $\mathfrak{G}_{192} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{12} A_k \mathfrak{H}_{16}$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_6$  are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & i \end{pmatrix}, 2^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}, 2^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}, 2^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ & -i \end{pmatrix}, 2^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $A_{6+j} = rA_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 6$ . Then  $M^+$ ,  $J^+$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  generate a group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of order  $6144p$  consisting of the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega^\nu A & \\ & \omega^{-\nu} BA \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} & \omega^\nu A \\ \omega^{-\nu} BA & \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq p-1,$$

$$A \in \mathfrak{G}_{192}, \quad B \in \mathfrak{H}_{16}.$$

Now  $\mathcal{W}$  is invariant under  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the set of all invariants of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{M}$  contains  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathbb{C}[\eta_8, \theta_{16}, \gamma_{24}]$ . To show  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}$ , we define  $a_d, b_d$  as before and will show  $a_d = b_d$  for all  $d$ . We have

$$\sum_0^\infty b_d \lambda^d = 1/(1-\lambda^8)(1-\lambda^{16})(1-\lambda^{24}).$$

From (2), for all  $p > d$ ,  $a_d$  is the coefficient of  $\lambda^d$  in

$$\frac{1}{6144p} \sum_{\nu, A, B} \left\{ \frac{1}{|I - \lambda \omega^\nu A| |I - \lambda \omega^{-\nu} BA|} + \frac{1}{|I - \lambda^2 ABA|} \right\} = \Sigma_I + \Sigma_{II} \text{ say.}$$

In  $\Sigma_I$  we put  $A = A_k B'$ :

$$\Sigma_I = \frac{1}{24p} \sum_{k=1}^{12} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} f(A_k; \lambda \omega^\nu) f(A_k; \lambda \omega^{-\nu}),$$