

# An Alternative Converse Proof For the Gaussian Broadcast Channel Capacity

Chao Tian

**Abstract**—We provide an alternative converse proof for the well-known capacity formula of the Gaussian scalar broadcast channel. The original proof by Bergmans is a proof by contradiction, while the alternative proof is a direct proof.

The capacity region for the additive white Gaussian broadcast channel under average power constraint  $S$ , with noise power  $N_1 < N_2 < \dots < N_K$ , is given as (see [1])

$$R_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_i S}{N_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j S} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K, \quad (1)$$

with  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \leq 1$ . Note that the rates are for the individual receivers without common messages.

Bergmans [1] gave a converse proof of this result by a contradiction argument; see [2] for the history on this result. In this short note, we give an alternative converse proof which is a direct proof.

*Converse proof of Gaussian broadcast capacity:*

Denote the independent messages for the receivers as  $(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_K)$ , and consider the follows

$$\begin{aligned} nR_i &= H(W_i) = H(W_i|W_{i+1}^K) \\ &\leq [H(W_i|W_{i+1}^K) - H(W_i|\mathbf{Y}_i, W_{i+1}^K)] + \epsilon \\ &= I(W_i; \mathbf{Y}_i|W_{i+1}^K) + \epsilon \\ &= [h(\mathbf{Y}_i|W_{i+1}^K) - h(\mathbf{Y}_i|W_i^K)] + \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we have used Fano's inequality and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as the decoding error  $P_e \rightarrow 0$ .

Define for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_i &= \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_i|W_{i+1}^K) - \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_i|W_i^K) \\ r_i &= \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_i|W_{i+1}^K). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have

$$r_1 - \hat{R}_1 = \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_1|W_1^K) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e N_1. \quad (3)$$

It is also clear that

$$\begin{aligned} r_K &= \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_K) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h(Y_K(k)) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2n} \log(2\pi e)^n [\mathbb{E}(Y_K(k))^2] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2n} \log(2\pi e)^n [N_K + \mathbb{E}(X(k))^2] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e [N_K + S], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the last inequality follows from the concavity of the  $\log(\cdot)$  function and the power constraint.

By the entropy power inequality [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_i - \hat{R}_i &= \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_i|W_i^K) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left[ \exp\left(\frac{2}{n} h(\mathbf{Y}_{i-1}|W_i^K)\right) + (2\pi e)(N_i - N_{i-1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log [\exp(2r_{i-1}) + (2\pi e)(N_i - N_{i-1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It follows that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$

$$\exp(2r_i) \geq [\exp(2r_{i-1}) + (2\pi e)(N_i - N_{i-1})] \exp(2\hat{R}_i), \quad (6)$$

where for convenience we defined  $r_0 \triangleq -\infty$  and  $N_0 \triangleq 0$ . From (3), (4) and (6), we have

$$\exp(2r_1) - (2\pi e)N_1 \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\exp(2r_K) - (2\pi e)N_K \leq (2\pi e)S \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(2r_i) - (2\pi e)N_i &\geq \exp(2r_{i-1}) - (2\pi e)N_{i-1}, \\ i &= 2, 3, \dots, K. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This implies that  $\exp(2r_i) - (2\pi e)N_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ , is a monotone sequence in the range of  $[0, (2\pi e)S]$ , and we can now write

$$\exp(2r_i) = (2\pi e)N_i + (2\pi e) \sum_{j=1}^i \alpha_j S, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K, \quad (10)$$

for some  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \leq 1$ . At this point it is rather clear that from (6) we have

$$0 \leq R_i - \epsilon \leq \hat{R}_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_i S}{N_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j S} \right) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, K, \quad (11)$$

for some  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j \leq 1$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrarily small, the closure of this region is exactly the one given in (1). ■

*Remark:* Unfortunately the proof given here appears difficult to generalize to the multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) Gaussian broadcast channel case, for which Weingarten *et al.* provided a converse proof by generalizing Bergmans' proof together with the enhancing technique [4].

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bergmans, "A simple converse proof for the broadcast channels with additive white Gaussian noise," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 279–280, Mar. 1974.
- [2] T. Cover, "Comments on broadcast channels," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 2524–2530, Oct. 1998.
- [3] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, New York: Wiley, 1991.
- [4] H. Weingarten, Y. Steinberg, and S. Shamai, "The capacity region of the Gaussian multiple-input multiple-output broadcast channel," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 3936–3964, Sep. 2006.